NIGEL SOUTHWAY
ADVOCATE / AUTHOR

The Climate Realists Position….

Based on the latest facts we remain highly sceptical that we have any kind of climate emergency.

Yes… the climate continues to change, but we are emerging from one of the coldest times in earths recent history, with a slight warming trend that has been happening for 300 years, and long before we used fossil fuels.



And CO2 in Geological terms is at an all time low, even if it is now rising.



Yes…. humans will continue to participate in climate change, but the open questions in such an unsettled climate science is…. by how much are we affecting the outcome, and is the current changes an

emergency, is it unprecedented, and what type of action should we take, and will that action be equitable to our future flourishing?
Scientific arguments continue to rage as to the correct response to these questions, with a lot of uncertainty remaining in an unsettled science.

Andrew Dessler vs Steven Koonin: Climate Change Debate
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IGNSGyhK_z0

Although CO2 has increased, and allegedly due to our industrial participation, it is apparent that the slightly raised global temperature rate since the little ice age of 1 degree K per century does not currently create any adverse weather-related impacts or accelerating sea level rise or significant trends in the stability of the oceans that constitute any kind of emergency.

John Christy: Climate Change is Not a Crisis | Tom Nelson Pod #260
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TwYVyU_q9Uo&t=242s

The predictions for any significant increase in global temperature or adverse climate impacts (Model average in yellow) are being driven by climate models that so far have vastly overestimated the impact compared to actual events (Blue).



It's also argued that prior warm periods over the last 10,000 years were warmer than today and human civilizations flourished in these warm periods with far less adaptive capability than we enjoy today.

A Hot Time In The Old Holocene Climatic Optimum

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jP8nz0cVbzQ&t=7s

So, based on the above facts the notion of the need for Mitigation of CO2 via NetZero remains in strong dispute.

Also, what is clear based on the current state of the global population is that the mitigation of CO2 via NetZero even if it were deemed necessary, is currently technologically unattainable, economically unviable, and an extreme detriment to modern industrial flourishing and prosperity.

And this reality is not likely to change inside this century.

This Isn't Science, It's Ideology - Kathryn Porter
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MzCiEHGVMwA&t=4979s

We need to accept that climate mitigation by any nation is pointless, as it will just cause deindustrialization and lower prosperity making any necessary climate adaption impossible.

The best policy approach is to stop such climate mitigation via NetZero. And over a longer time window, and with far less panic, and more economically sound planning, redeploy the finances and efforts wasted on NetZero, and focus on the use of the most reliable, affordable, abundant and available energy sources to maximise the most industrial prosperity possible.

And then over time build an adaptive capability toward climate change.
And start to execute a vision of a modern industrialised society with a culture of total sustainability focused on waste reduction, and the elimination of pollution in all forms, but only as technology becomes viable and available.

We need to stop using the beating stick of NetZero legislations, taxes and wasteful subsidies for mitigation, and start using the juicy carrots of technology and free national markets to create adaption.

An Inconvenient Truth: Our climate policies cant save the environment. So what will? | Bjorn Lomborg
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dN_ARfPY9rY

The new focus will be to as rapidly as possible redevelop our industries to operate with new technologies such that eventually they perform with a low pollution footprint, and a society that follows the principals of a circular economy through localized manufacturing and trade. We need to evolve away from the wasteful over-globalized, throw away society to reduce product end of life waste. This will demand both business goal realignment, and new technologies, such as nuclear power, to reduce the waste of resource extraction

This should allow the western nations to recover their industrial base from the disaster of global trade if they want to see a return to any kind of prosperity, but it will require a move away from the dangerous policies of NetZero.

Most nations in the western world signed up to undertake NetZero that has turned out to be a huge and dangerous group-think that has characterized the slightly warming planet as an emergency requiring an urgent and complete change in national policies for energy systems and citizen lifestyle choices that is clearly prosperity suicide, and is clearly unnecessary, technologically unattainable, economically unviable and extremely foolish.

Prosperity requires an ability to support a thriving industrial base and requires an energy system that is affordable reliable and locally abundant. For most nations this has traditionally involved harnessing the power of either coal or oil or natural gas or hydro if geographically possible. And is better supported by local resources or as a fall-back resources or energy sources that can be imported from compatible trade partners.

The only other future option that still holds great promise due to its high energy density is nuclear power, but this so far only supports electricity generation so oil and gas would also be needed to support transportation and heavy industries and other resource needs within a modern economy.

What NetZero did was constrain these essential energy options away from fossil fuels (Coal, Oil & Gas) due to the requirement to decarbonise these energy sources, due to the scientific consensus that it is CO2 generated by the use of these fossil fuels that is creating the climate change emergency.

The alternative low carbon energy technologies, deemed renewables, such as Wind & Solar are proving to be highly unreliable and therefore unaffordable, and for many nations are not locally abundant, and will need to be imported from others that may not be a suitable trading partner.

Some western nations embraced such a renewables direction and to make it worse due to perceived safety concerns also turned off or halted progress on their nuclear options as well. Germany and the UK are the best examples of this mistake, and now after massive investment in renewables, have the highest energy prices in the world, and are experiencing rapid deindustrialization with massive negative consequences to their citizens prosperity.

WATCH: Gerard Holland lays out the staggering cost of renewable energy at ARC Australia
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sRhNOv1Uo4M&t=4s

This new political/cultural roadmap will demand a far better alignment of government, industry and educational systems, so the focus is on common nation centric sustainability goals that will balance and maximise environmental, economic and social requirements to maximise the benefit to the planet and all its inhabitants.

We stand with the position provided by the DoE report that reviewed the scientific material in the IPCC science sections and constructed a realistic picture of the climate science and the future position that should be undertaken.

The DOE report also explains how the science community and the traditional peer review process failed society in its duty because it allowed itself to be politically subjugated into only considering the narrative of a climate emergency.

(2) New Climate Report from the US DOE - by Nigel Southway
https://nigelsouthway.substack.com/p/new-climate-report-from-the-us-doe

This is clearly demonstrated with the gulf between the IPCC science sections and the report for policy makers that uses extortion and censoring of facts to fool and scare the public into accepting the narrative of a climate emergency.

Due to this activity the climate crisis was exaggerated with the consequence of society running down a rabbit hole of rapid decarbonization… So yes, scientific honesty has consequences, and the climate community failed to accurately represent the situation with the inappropriate use of questionable climate models — The DOE report and future iterations will attempt to correct that injustice.

https://x.com/i/status/2019566807464518015

……..

Nigel Southway is based in Toronto Canada and is an independent business consultant and recently authored the advocacy book Take Back Manufacturing.

He is also the author of Cycle Time Management: The Fast Track to Time-Based Productivity Improvement, an early textbook on the concept of LEAN thinking and Six Sigma, and how to implement it.

He consults and educates worldwide on Business Productivity Improvement, Advanced Manufacturing Engineering, Sustainable Supply Chain Management, Industry 4.0, National Sustainability & Prosperity, Global technology transfer projects and joint ventures and more.

He has gained experience assisting clients across a wide range of business sectors and industries and helps clients develop a strategy and a vision to attack waste, capture productivity improvements, increase profits, and become more competitive in the global market.

He is a part time professor for Canadian Colleges and lectures on Advanced Manufacturing and Global Supply Chain Management.

He is a past chair of the Society of Manufacturing Engineers and the leading advocate and spokesperson for the Take Back Manufacturing (TBM) Forum, and the North American Reshoring initiative in Canada.

To learn more about the book **Take Back Manufacturing** and the Author, Click Here